

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/740,902	12/21/2000	Junichi Asada	201163US2S	6347	
22850 7	590 07/22/2002				
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC			EXAMINER		
	FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			CHU, CHRIS C	
ARLINGTON		. 4			
	, <u></u> -		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		•	2815		
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	am
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/740,902	ASADA, JUNICHI	
rianically richem	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chris C. Chu	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ( condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this a	application. A proper reply twhich places the applica	/ to a tion in
<u>PERIOD FOR R</u>	REPLY [check either a) or b	)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing da	<u>-</u>		
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	e later than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS	e mailing date of the final rejections OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date o (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	I of extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for fiftie later than three months after	ng amount of the fee. The appror reply originally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		•	
2. $\boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		considered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOI	ELY to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 3, 5, 6, 9, 11 and 13</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>28 Janu</u>	<i>ıary 2002</i> is a)⊠ approved	or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	100	

EDDIE LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new claims 28 and 29 raise new issues which require further consideration and/or search.